

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALBERT DYTCH,
Plaintiff,

v.

ANTONIO MAGANA, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [17-cv-00438-SI](#)

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
STRIKE**

Re: Dkt. No. 25


Plaintiff Albert Dytch filed a motion to strike defendant Maxaco, LLC's ("Maxaco's") answer, Dkt. No. 13, because Maxaco improperly appeared *pro se* and needs to be represented by counsel. *See* Mot. to Strike (Dkt. No. 25) at 2 (citing *Rowland v. Cal. Men's Colony*, 506 U.S. 194, 196 (1993)). Maxaco has not filed a response to plaintiff's motion, nor has it retained counsel to represent it in this action.

Accordingly, plaintiff's motion to strike is GRANTED. **Maxaco is hereby ORDERED to appear through counsel within two weeks of the date of this order. If no appearance through counsel is filed, defendant Maxaco may be found in default.**

This order resolves Dkt. No. 25.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 31, 2017



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge